

Application/Control Number: 10/285,611 (Yankee) Art Unit: 3632

7

Examiner has objected to claim 4 because of the following informalities:

In claim 4, line 2, "said indicator" should be changed to "said indicator lamp". The appropriate correction has been made to claim 4.

Examiner has rejected claims 15-20 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claims contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The specification only hinted "a light pipe" could be used to transmit the light. The specification fails to provide any structure of the light pipe. The disclosure does not disclose what constitutes a light pipe, and how it is connected to LED 26. Without such information, the disclosure for claims 15-20 is non-enabling.

Applicant has cancelled claims 15-20.

Examiner has rejected claims 2-4 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2, line 2, "the light receptor" lacks antecedent basis.

Claim 4, line 4, "said light receptor unit" lacks antecedent basis.

In Claim 2, line 2, "the light receptor" has been changed to "a light receptor". In Claim 4, line 4,

Application/Control Number: 10/285,611 (Yankee) Art Unit: 3632

8

“said light receptor unit” has been changed to “said light receptor”.

Examiner has rejected claims 1-5 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cotton (US 5,177,479). Applicant has canceled claims 1 and 5.

Examiner states that claims 2-4 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 2-4 have been rewritten with claim 2 as the base claim incorporating all of the limitations of the original base claim 1. As previously stated, claims 2 and 4 have been amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph. Claim 2 is now in condition of allowance. Additionally, claims 3 and 4 now depend from an allowable base claim; therefore, claims 3 and 4 are now also in condition of allowance.

Examiner has rejected claims 6 and 7 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cotton (US 5,177,479). Applicant has canceled claims 6 and 7.

Examiner has rejected claims 15-20 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Telmet (US 6,181,095 B1). Applicant has canceled claims 15-20.

Examiner has allowed claims 8-14.

Application/Control Number: 10/285,611 (Yankee)

Art Unit: 3632

9

Applicant submits that claims 2-4 and 8-14 are now in condition for allowance.